

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/789,310	02/26/2004	Kazumi Furuta	04115/HG	9200	
1933	7590 06/15/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FRISHAUF, 220 Fifth Ave	HOLTZ, GOODMAN	WOLLSCHLAGER, JEFFREY MICHAEL			
16TH Floor	nue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK,	NY 10001-7708		1732		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/789,310	FURUTA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeff Wollschlager	1732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence addres	·s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPONDED FOR INTERPONDED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPONDED FOR IT INTERPONDED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPONDED FOR IT INTERPONDED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPONDED FOR IT INTERPONDED FOR IT INTERPONDE	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA136(a). In no event, however, may a reply d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tte, cause the application to become ABAND	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this commun DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 l</u>	February 2004.		
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	ance except for formal matters	, prosecution as to the me	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. Its have been received in Application or the contract of the contract	ication No eived in this National Stag	je
Attachment(s)	_		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sumr Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) ail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nal Patent Application (PTO-152))

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-17 and 19, drawn to methods for depicting, manufacturing a mother die of a mold, and manufacturing a mold, classified in class 264, subclasses 1.36, 2.5, 485.
- II. Claims 18 and 20, drawn to a mother die of a mold and a mold, classified in class 249, subclass 187.1.
- III. Claim 21, drawn to an optical element, classified in class 359, various subclasses.
- IV. Claims 22-31, drawn to an apparatus for depicting, classified in class 425, subclass 140.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by another and materially different process such as those discussed in the acknowledged prior art.

Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2)

that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by another and materially different process such as those discussed in the acknowledged prior art.

Inventions I and IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus. The process as claimed does not require many of the same limitations required in the apparatus.

Inventions II, III and IV are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown:

(1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the products can be made by another and materially different apparatus such as those discussed in the acknowledged prior art.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Additionally, should applicant elect the invention of group I, further restriction is required as follows:

- IA. Claims 1-13, drawn to a method of depicting, classified in class 264, subclass 1.36, 485.
- IB. Claims 14-17 and 19, drawn to a method of making a mother mold and a mold, classified in class 264, subclass 2.5.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions IA and IB are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require the particular details of the adjusting step as delineated in the subcombination. The subcombination has separate utility such as measuring the height variation across materials.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

A telephone call was made to Mr. Marshall Chick on June 7, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,310

Art Unit: 1732

Conclusion

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeff Wollschlager whose telephone number is 571-272-8937. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7:00 - 4:45, alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson can be reached on 571-272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

 $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{U}$

Jeff Wollschlager Examiner Art Unit 1732

June 7, 2006